## **Minutes**

#### **Liveable Cities Advisory Committee**



<b>Date:</b> Tuesday 7 March 2023	<b>Time:</b> 5.30pm – 7:30pm	Venue: LMRR1, Level 6, City of Newcastle, 12 Stewart Avenue, Newcastle West	
Meeting No: 17	Meeting Objective: Liveable Cities Advisory Committee To provide advice and guidance on the development of strategies and identification of challenges and opportunities about urban planning, heritage, safety, affordable housing and healthy and connected urban systems within the Local Government Area (LGA)		

#### 1. WELCOME

#### 1.1 Welcome to Country

I would like to acknowledge that today we are meeting on the land of the Awabakal and Worimi people. I would like to pay my respects to the traditional custodians of the land, elders, past, present and emerging.

#### 1.2 ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

#### **Attendance**

Councillor Dr John Mackenzie	Chair		
Councillor Dr Elizabeth Adamczyk	City of Newcastle Councillor		
Kristi Jorgensen	Department of Regional NSW		
Steve O'Connor	Planning Institute of Australia		
Michael Nolan	Home in Place / UDIA		
Michelle Bisson	CN Executive Director Planning and Environment		
David Moir	Community Representative		
Sparrow Katekar	Community Representative		
Kylie Reay-Reilly	CN Executive Assistant		
Marcus Jefferies (for Sue Anne Ware)	University of Newcastle		
Councillor Margaret Wood	City of Newcastle Councillor		
Councillor Callum Pull	City of Newcastle Councillor		
Marnie Kikken	Executive Manager Environment & Sustainability		
Tom Smith	Heritage Planner		
Samantha Cross	Principal Urban Planner		
Calan Cockburn	Senior Urban Planner		
Jack Slater	Senior Project Planner		
Rod Garcia	CN AV Specialist		

#### **Apologies**

Lord Mayor Councillor Nuatali Nelmes	City of Newcastle Lord Mayor
Councillor John Church	City of Newcastle Councillor
Wendy Banister	Community Representative
Sue Anne Ware	University of Newcastle

#### 1.3 Declaration of Conflicts of Interest

No declarations raised

#### 1.4 Confirmation of membership continuation

 This was discussed at the November 2022 meeting. Confirmation was sought from members who were not present at this meeting. All memberships will be continued. Brief introductions of people attending the meeting this evening.

#### 2. Confirmation of Previous Minutes and Actions Arising

- Minutes from the LCAC meeting #16 on 29 November 2022 were supported and approved
- Actions list from previous meeting see below:

1 March 2022	David Clarke	3.5 – Share a summary of the Liveable and Wellbeing survey with the committee – survey regarding CN liveability and wellbeing which is referenced in the CSP.  Note – The results are an internal document and are not able to be shared with anyone outside the LCAC Committee and CN organisation.	COMPLETED - Committee Survey Undertaken – Results provided in link below:  Liveability and Quality of Life Survey final March 2022.pdf
1 March 2022	David Clarke	3.6 – David Clarke to list Kelly Arnott on 6 September 2022 Committee meeting agenda to present the dashboard.  https://www.newcastle.nsw.gov.au/council/our-responsibilities/newcastle-2040  https://www.newcastle.nsw.gov.au/about-us/our-responsibilities/integrated-planning-and-reporting/delivering-newcastle-2040  https://www.newcastle.nsw.gov.au/about-us/our-responsibilities/newcastle-2040/budget-2022-2023  https://haveyoursay.newcastle.nsw.gov.au/projects-completed-2022/delivering-newcastle-2022-2023	COMPLETED - CSP 2040 endorsed (please see relevant links).  CSP 2040 endorsed, dashboard finalised. Presentation to LCAC scheduled for 6 June meeting.
1 March 2022	Michelle Bisson	7.5 – Michelle Bisson, Cr Mackenzie and Kristi Jorgensen to meet to discuss the format with providing feedback from the Committee to CN Officers on big ticket matters.	COMPLETED - Meeting scheduled for 24 May 2023.
1 February 2022	Councillor Mackenzie	5.3 – LCAC to hold a joint meeting with the Infrastructure Advisory Committee to explore opportunities for the Blue Green Grid in the Newcastle LGA.	COMPLETED – Meeting deferred at this time.

#### **ITEMS OF BUSINESS**

#### 3. LCAC Annual Report

 Draft LCAC Annual Report was endorsed with two minor edits on pages 3 and 8. LCAC Annual Report for 2022 has been finalised.

FINAL - Liveable Cities Advisory Committee - Annual Report 2022.pdf

#### 4. Presentations

#### 4.1 Wickham Public Domain Plan

Presentation by Jack Slater

Presentation - Wickham PDP concepts

Jack Slater gave an overview of the following:

- Follows adoption of Wickham Masterplan 2021 (amendment July 2022).
- Concept level integrated engineering and landscape architecture drawings for the public domain.
- Realise the vision and objectives for Wickham in accordance with the Masterplan.
- Incorporates relevant legislation, planning and best practice for streetscape design and urban activation.
- Will be used by CN, developers and other practitioners carrying out works within the public domain.
- Key focus on connectivity, accessibility, safety and sense of place.

#### Questions:

Kristi Jorgensen – How was the engagement with HCCDC done noting the magnitude
of the development they have carried out and working within Throsby creek area and
that some of the site boundaries of the PDP went towards their land?

<u>Response JS</u> – Engagement planned as part of the broader community consultation and stakeholder engagement.

<u>Kristi Jorgensen</u> – Expression of interest registration for sale of the HQ site has occurred so potentially worthwhile to discuss.

<u>Jack Slater</u> – There will be plenty of opportunity for updates and changes through this process so definitely will approach HCCDC.

 <u>Steve O'Connor</u> – Does the concept plan give consideration to the funding of all the things that are included in it and then into implementation

<u>Response JS</u> – We would look at implementation outcomes, potentially prioritising works that Council would take on itself. Ultimately we have been looking at developers delivering most of the public domain plan as the area redevelops.

Steve O'Connor – So how does it sync with what is currently on public exhibition?

<u>Jack Slater</u> - It doesn't go into the actual funding source or how that funding is allocated, that would be done separately through the planning team and engagement with us as well.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – The infrastructure incentive PP is on exhibition at the same time. The Wickham PDP will set clear direction for developments that occur in Wickham in the future. There will be a clear link to the public domain outcome that is expected within the development site frontage. <u>Sam Cross</u> – There is two different projects essentially and a developer will be able to tap in with the incentives if they provide that infrastructure but then there is separate infrastructure that is identified in the development contribution plan to implement this project.

<u>Jack Slater</u> – Once the plans are completed we will engage with our various stakeholders and asset manager and we will continue to engage to help plan and prioritise works coming out.

<u>Councillor Mackenzie</u> – Some of the public domain improvements that is anticipated is related back to the work that was done in the design of the Community Incentive Program. The documents appear to speak well to each other.

<u>David Moir</u> – I am curious about the wetland, where did that come from? Has it got a stormwater function?

<u>Jack Slater</u> – We have looked at a number of different heritage maps and identified that this particular area was part of Throsby Creek. It would serve that it could provide some minor flood retention and also potentially some water quality improvements as well, but ultimately it was more to be able to replicate something that was predevelopment. So it doesn't necessarily need to be a wetland, it could be using excess vegetation, but also could be having these recreational spaces being observed and appreciated by the community but left untouched to some degree.

<u>David Moir</u> – Commented on how little public domain there is in Wickham and through to Newcastle West for the population. It would be great to see ability to provide more amenity. Although there is the park and sporting fields but not a lot of passive recreation as part of that. The Foreshore Park is open space and is very limited and it would be good if this is considered along the journey.

<u>Jack Slater</u> – This is on public exhibition until the 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2023. Community are invited to provide feedback and we would welcome your feedback.

#### 4.2 Review of Newcastle City Centre Heritage Conservation Areas

Presentation by Tom Smith

<u>Presentation - Community Engagement - Review of Newcastle City Centre & Newcastle East HCA's</u>

Report - Review of Newcastle City Centre Heritage Conservation Areas

Tom Smith gave an overview of the following:

- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage
- Heritage Listings
- CN Heritage Assets
- Heritage Collections
- Heritage Planner Role
- What, why and where
- Project Timeline
- Summary of Scope

#### Questions:

• Councillor Mackenzie – How are one-on-one meetings organised?

<u>Tom Smith</u> – Have your say website outlines details to contact CN Comms team to set up oneon-one meetings as needed.

<u>Councillor Wood</u> – This will be a challenging community engagement process because people other than residents of the area are interested. How do you plan to engage right across the LGA on this issue?

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – This will be addressed in the statutory process. This is the first 'voluntary' phase.

<u>Councillor Wood</u> – If you are identifying specific precincts based on common history or character, does that mean that you are strengthening the protection of those particular precincts because you have actually been able to better describe what gives them value?

<u>Tom Smith</u> – yes that is correct.

### 4.3 Broadmeadow Place Strategy, Reimagining Broadmeadow paper, Community Engagement

Presentation by Calan Cockburn

Presentation - Broadmeadow Update

Calan Cockburn gave an overview of the following:

- Overview Broadmeadow Place Strategy Update
- Project Components
- Consultant Packages

- Reimagining Broadmeadow / Vision Themes
- In December 2022 it was announced that Broadmeadow is a State Led Precinct by the Premier under the rezoning pathways program. Essentially that is the DPE and CN will be working together to deliver the Broadmeadow Place Strategy and both will be leading the development of the place strategy and the DPE will be preparing a first move rezoning planning proposal to rezone land for 2000 dwellings within the precinct. This program was set up by the NSW Government to address the housing supply and housing affordability crisis in the State. Broadmeadow is the only regional precinct outside of Metro Sydney that was part of this program, all others were in Western Sydney. Funding is provided for the technical reports we need to complete to inform the place strategy. There are also tight timeframes to have it completed by June 2024. The place strategy will also be supported with a strategic infrastructure and services assessment.
- Michelle Bisson The place strategy is to be endorsed by mid 2024, this requires seeking
  endorsement to go on exhibition approx September 2023. This will afford sufficient time to
  seek endorsement from Council and then the Minister prior to June 2024.

#### Question

<u>Councillor Adamczyk</u> – The masterplan for the first move rezoning sections where is it being delivered by DPE, is that government owned land?

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – They haven't clarified a specific parcel of land at this stage. It is likely to focus on government owned land but that will be informed by the technical investigations across the precinct as well as a steering group as well to select a piece of land.

<u>Councillor Adamczyk</u> – So DPE is delivering the controls that is required rather than land that they specifically have in their ownership?

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – DPE don't have any ownership interest in the area, the site has land owned by other government agencies. The rezoning and master plan that DPE are preparing we understand at this stage will not apply to the whole precinct, it will only apply to one sub-precinct or one parcel of land that they have identified.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – For the initial 2000 dwellings, and then anything else that happens in Broadmeadow we understand will go through the normal planning proposal pathway, so that would be assessed by Council, considered by Council, the elected Council and then go through the normal planning proposal pathway.

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – Once the place strategy is finalised, will be when we would be willing to start accepting planning proposals by proponents or City of Newcastle leading a planning proposal in an area that we've identified.

<u>Councillor Mackenzie</u> – Is there not some suggestion that once the place strategy is in place, there will be a streamline process for those planning proposals?

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> - There is discussion about having the place strategy considered a ministerial direction and this has a lot more planning weight, in that the place strategy must be considered with future proposals, it's not only a Council endorsed strategy, it's a ministerial direction strategy that any planning proposal must be consistent with that strategy.

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – We still have to be within the EP&A Act and unfortunately there is no streamline process under that, but what the place strategy does is remove some of the uncertainty from the process because the place strategy is essentially saying this is the vision and this is what is desired. It has been taken to the community and it has been taken to Council. It has been taken to the different state agencies that provide infrastructure of services there and this is the vision that everyone expects to happen, so it means that when we are liaising with those other agencies and the community through the normal planning proposal process, if they are following the vision in the master plan, it should make the process slightly more streamlined.

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – We will be sending you information and tonight is to give some background and context about why and what's coming up. To also have this delivered by June 2024, we will need to meet more regularly under the working party.

Steve O'Connor – Have you figured out how frequently you think that will be?

Michelle Bisson – There is obviously going to be lots of engagement and collaboration that we will need once we go to Council and hopefully gain endorsement for the strategy project in March 2023, noting that we really need to have a draft strategy to go to Council in September 2023. Therefore, in this period, there is a lot of input that reimagining Broadmeadow vision will need. Theme's is a start. There is also probably some communication, consultation and feedback that would be great to get from the committee perhaps at times electronically, noting that LCAC only meets 4 times per year. Once the place strategy goes on exhibition, we are not reporting back on the draft strategy until early 2024. Provided we gain endorsement from Council at the ordinary council meeting this month then we will be issuing to the committee our reimagining Broadmeadow brochure that talks about these themes and we would seek feedback from the Committee as a first step.

Councillor Mackenzie – Is everyone comfortable with that as a process?

Kristi Jorgensen initiated further discussions around how best to utilise time during LCAC meetings to ensure staff are getting best value from the committee members. Kristi feels that interactive sessions where each of the committee members are pulled on for their expertise to brainstorm and solve issues would be a more productive approach. Kristi would prefer this approach rather than staff just updating LCAC, a workshop where problems are discussed would be better value of time. Kristi suggested that these type of presentations could be presented to the committee as a business paper distributed in a timely manner prior to the meeting would prevent lengthy meetings. Kristi also raised a question around who decides what LCAC has to be updated on and what items are listed on the agenda? Michelle responded noting that one expectation is that the Advisory Committees are briefed (and endorse) on matters of importance that are going to Council and the second component is that LCAC members have a significant amount of expertise and we would workshop key projects to ensure that we are gaining valuable feedback from the Committee members.

Councillor Wood requested that accessibility be added as one of your themes.

<u>Sparrow Katekar</u> – Further to the points raised above around inclusivity, gender equality, the social justice lens how do we assist you to guide the development of consultation. We have a lot of information on the ground around the liveable housing, around domestic violence around the needs for inclusive city that are not currently being made elsewhere. How can I join the working party?

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> noted that at the last meeting it was agreed that all LCAC committee members would be part of the working party.

Councillor Mackenzie – I feel this is a good opportunity for us to trial a different approach where we are getting reports out of session (when needed), with a window of opportunity to comment on, and I would also like to suggest that if people felt like something was important enough to meet on that we can propose a meeting – noting that this might not be for everybody but I also don't want to prevent anyone from having the opportunity to talk in greater detail, , but it's a great opportunity for us to try a different way of working giving staff the opportunity to take advantage of the expertise in the room.

<u>Councillor Adamczyk</u> – On the slides where you have all the reports (consultant package), just to confirm the key concerns such as inclusion, equity, accessibility, health, social justice and ability, where would these be addressed within these consultant packages?

<u>Calan Cockburn</u> – Accessibility and inclusion falls under Community package aid, so forms part of the community and social assessment infrastructure and affordability falls under economics and feasibility. It looks at housing and affordable housing. They are engaged by the DPE.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – We have seen the briefs, and consultants have been engaged. They will provide reports and technical studies to inform the place strategy. We are fortunate the DPE is funding the significant cost of these reports.

Steve O'Connor – What is Council's role in terms of reviewing those reports?

<u>Calan Cockburn and Michelle Bisson</u> - Whenever DPE are reviewing the reports, we are also reviewing the reports. CN is very much working with the DPE and there is a commitment of review of only a few days.

<u>David Moir</u> – I'm wondering whether during the conception of these projects it would be a good point to have input as well of what should be included early as opposed to once they are up and running.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – We wouldn't disagree with that point David, it's just an opportunity has come before CN to have a Broadmeadow precinct and allow the planning of that area to be brought forward five years and achieve housing a lot sooner than what we would normally. The consequence of that is this creates challenges on how much input can be provided.

<u>David Moir</u> – It wasn't necessarily Broadmeadow, I understand this one because we are pretty familiar. I think with other projects if they occur, it would be quite interesting to see at the conception stage and when it becomes a project within Council in developing what the objectives of the project is would be an interesting point of involvement, not just once it is running.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – agreed, this would be ideal. it is very important that the group is involved in the formation of the draft Broadmeadow Place Strategy because the strategy is going to inform the development of this area and we welcome your early feedback.

ACTION – Distribute the Broadmeadow Brochure to LCAC Committee Members and Guests once finalised – Calan Cockburn / Kylie Reay-Reilly

#### 4.4 Draft Newcastle Environment Strategy

Presentation by Marnie Kikken

Presentation - Environment Strategy

Report - Environment Strategy

Marnie Kikken gave an overview of the following:

- Draft Strategic Priorities Newcastle Environment Strategy (NES)
- CN's costed strategic pathway for achieving the sustainability priorities and objectives in its Community Strategic Plan (CSP) over the next 10 years
- Community, Stakeholder and CN consultation
- Feedback on NES discussion paper from other Committee's
- A rapid fire feedback session was carried out on the draft strategic priorities of our environmental strategy. The session consisted of 3 slides, brief overview and then requested feedback on posters around the room regarding the 15 strategic priorities that CN has developed.

<u>Kristi Jorgensen</u> – I think what is key for implementation of the four year plan is identifying on who your partners are as there will be areas that you can deliver on but there will be areas that you need to advocate and influence. And understanding who those stakeholders are and considering what type of partnerships you can form. Everyone is doing something in Net Zero at the moment and how much do you have to do to get the outcome? It may be that you actually need to take more of the facilitation role so it would be important to understand what stakeholders are doing, so that everyone is kind of pulling in the right direction. But obviously CN can take a really strong advocacy role and facilitation role.

Marnie presented an interactive session on the Draft Strategic Priorities. Information on the draft strategic priorities (see link below) was also distributed to attendees online via the meeting invite. The feedback received will be integrated into the draft Newcastle Environment Strategy. Following

Councils endorsement at an upcoming Council Meeting, there will be further opportunities for LCAC to provide further feedback during the public exhibition period.

#### <u>Draft Strategic Priorities – Newcastle Environment Strategy</u>

<u>Post commentary note 8 May 2023</u> – Since the LCAC meeting held on 7 March 2023, a further councillor workshop was held on 5 April 2023 to present changes both architectural to the NES (Newcastle Environment Strategy) and a refinement to the key deliverables based on comments received in the councillor workshop held in January 2023.

#### 5. Planning and Environment – Other matters

Presentation by Michelle Bisson and Sam Cross

Presentation - Planning and Environment - Other Matters

#### 5.1 DCP Review Stage 2 Renewal Corridor – Submission / comments Review

#### Report - Finalise Renewal corridors DCP

Sam Cross gave an overview of the following:

- Details of exhibition
- Submissions
- Refinements post exhibition
- Implementing or Priorities
- Next Steps
- LCAC Recommendation:
- Notes the Draft Development Control Plan Stage 2 was placed on public exhibition
- Endorses the amendments post exhibition
- Endorses reporting back to Council for adoption

<u>Councillor Wood</u> – When does it get to the stage where it is considered as a heritage conservation area? I mentioned that there is a hall church from the 1880's at 148 Denison Street, which is a block up from where you have outlined in blue and I don't know whether it is subject to a separate heritage conservation study or not, but it would certainly be worth looking at that area as well when you are considering the heritage conservation values.

<u>Sam Cross</u> – The renewal corridor is wording at this stage and there are no definitive line or boundaries as we would need to go through that process and consult with everybody that lives in that area. There may be further heritage studies that we have to do but it would be looked at in the broader area as well.

<u>Kristi Jorgensen</u> – Just curious regarding the 41 submissions when you release for public exhibition, do you mailbox drop?

<u>Sam Cross</u> – They are mailed out for public exhibition - 2,500 letters were sent out. All property owners within the renewal corridors got an individual letter to note that their area was being reviewed plus our normal engagement with have your say, social media and on our web page was carried out as well. 41 submissions received is quite a high number. Noting that most concerns raised were in and around the Broadmeadow corridor and Cameron's Hill area acknowledging that this area is actually zoned for R3 & R4 and apartments are permissible even in our R2 zone.

<u>Councillor Mackenzie</u> confirmed that the committee are happy to note the results of the exhibition and endorse those changes.

#### 5.2 Cycling and parking Plan Update (Maps, walking plan, sensors, POD)

• Committee members have been provided with a copy of the presentation (link above).

#### 5.3 Working Parties – Update

- Affordable Housing Working Party
- Newcastle Development Control Working Party
- Cycling Working Party
- Committee members have been provided with a copy of the presentation (link above).

#### 6. For Information and Discussion

#### 6.1 LCAC 2023 Objective and Proposed Priorities – possible options

- Newcastle Environment Strategy
- Broadmeadow Place Strategy
- Newcastle DCP Review
- Traffic and Transport (Parking/Cycling)
- Affordable Housing and Contribution Scheme
- Social Strategy and Social Infrastructure
- Local Character, Livability, Conservation and Place Planning

#### NOTE - Councillor Wood left the meeting.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> asked for feedback that Councillor Mackenzie and Michelle can consider offline in regard to what updates and presentations does the Committee want to workshop.

<u>Councillor Mackenzie</u> – We have talked about Broadmeadow as being a high interest project for us to prioritise but we may not want to focus all our energy on one topic. Looking through the list I note the environment strategy has a little bit of work to do, but it is moving forward. The DCP review has the working party, traffic and transport has a working party, affordable housing has a working party, social strategy and social infrastructure are close to adoption and completion. The local character component is not being done and not happening anywhere else, so that might be one, but that ultimately leaves the Broadmeadow Place Strategy.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> - The Local Character work very much fits within the LCAC remit but with the team focus on Broadmeadow and affordable housing contributions scheme, affordable housing in general and the like, it is likely that any further development of the local character statements would be towards the end of the year, maybe even into next year. But with regard to local character statements you will remember Tighes Hill and Kotara have been completed and will be incorporated in stage three of the DCP review, so there will be some further progress with local character statements this year. I am just not sure that we will get to any further areas with local character statements this year.

Marcus Jefferies – What Tom presented earlier, where will heritage fit in?

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – The work that Tom presented today is in the initial engagement stage. There will be more work in his timeframe he showed to consult with the members and then to gain Council endorsement to go on public exhibition. This project will be carried out over this year. This project could possibly be something that could move towards BAU that we report on for feedback through electronic means and the remaining three meetings are more workshops about a key targeted area that the committee is wanting to do. What interests the committee and what do you feel like you can add value to for us?

<u>Sparrow Katekar</u> – As the community representative, my question is how do I support what is already going on? There is a lot of wonderful projects but my basis is very much around social justice and equity and it is difficult to work out where to fit that in which component of planning. I have a great document that has wonderful answers on open design but how can I support everyone in their roles engaging with the community with the great links I have within the communities? For example, with domestic violence, we have some brilliant information with affordable housing and we know exactly what we need but being that interface and bringing that into the planning aspect of things, would be a good opportunity, because it's very hard to reverse engineer these things.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> - I see this would be very important during the development for the place strategy of Broadmeadow, noting that the strategy is high level, but then we will be using for future planning proposals and into what are our controls and how can we better represent what is required.

<u>Sparrow Katekar</u> – I know the service sector are very keen on what happens in these kind of committees and how we can kind of leverage our data or resources or our ability to provide services to support what is already happening in the planning space.

<u>Steve O'Connor</u> – Well I think we really only have this six month window between now and September where if the opportunity comes and goes it won't come again in this decade, so I think it deserves some priority and to be the main focus.

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – In regard to strategic focus, the public domain plan was a good example that it was a Council Resolution to come to LCAC, so there will be items like this that will also come up which represent more BAU matters.

<u>Councillor Adamczyk</u> – We can meet out of our four times per year schedule if we feel there is a lot on our agenda. So can we have the BAU reports to come to us earlier to have a look and then there would be a feedback mechanism where members can flag items of particular interest. Or maybe having the feedback loop closed in a way that it could be useful.

<u>Kristi Jorgensen</u> - If we had the papers to us earlier, we can potentially categorise them eg, ones that requiring endorsement on. Here's the information provided, have questions up front, potentially those can be answered over e-mail or it may be a phone call and then it allows the meeting to focus on what those bigger picture issues like Broadmeadow. I've also written down ideas for templates, perhaps revising some of the templates to make things a bit easier for the committee, noting that everyone's doing this. I am also interested to find out what the council needs from the LCAC, what it wants from LCAC and what we then do with that. I need to be clear about that requirement.

#### NOTE – Councillor Adamczyk and Michael Nolan left the meeting.

<u>David Moir</u> – In regard to Broadmeadow, is there an enquiry by design process as part of that? Could members perhaps be invited to the EBD process?

<u>Michelle Bisson</u> – We are gaining some clarity around roles and responsibilities, but the enquiry by design is very much focused on the master plan and to work through the studies to form which land should be identified for the rezoning.

Just so I am clear on future focus, people generally seem to be quite comfortable in focusing on Broadmeadow. Monthly meetings would probably be required. We will issue the brochure that Calan talked about and we would love your feedback on the themes noting the points that were noted tonight. Then later there will probably be some information via email for input. We could then organise meetings with as much notice as possible but we may not necessarily be able to have a meeting time that is suitable for everybody.

There needs to be some balance of matters coming to LCAC, noting that some matters need to go to council after LCAC endorsement and then there are topics/projects that we need/want your expertise input. We also note that the preference is to bring matters early in the process. . If we continue to get items coming to the advisory committee for presentation, then that takes time away from our project workshops, which is challenging. workshopping the larger projects How can we best manage so we have consistency and have the best use of your time.

<u>Sparrow Katekar</u> - So just to wrap this up we have observed that there is a lot of goodwill and a lot of interest.

<u>Marcus Jefferies</u> - And there is an appetite for additional gatherings or meetings provided they are a bit more focused and not just business as usual. It also seems that most of the committee also don't mind doing a little bit of extra work in between the meetings.

NOTE - Sparrow Katekar left the meeting

ACTION – Organise a meeting with CN Executive Directors and Advisory Committee Chair to discuss LCAC Advisory Committee operations – Kylie Reay-Reilly

#### 7. General Business

#### 7.1 Move to Newcastle (Kristi Jorgensen)

Kristi Jorgensen is seeking more information about 'Move to Newcastle'. Michelle Bisson has suggested Kristi's enquiry be directed to Simon Massey's team. Kristi will approach Simon / team with her enquiry for further information.

ACTION – Contact Simon Massey / Team regarding her request for further information on 'Move to Newcastle' – Kristi Jorgensen

#### 8. Closing

- Councillor Mackenzie thanked everyone for their attendance and contributions.
- Next Meeting Tuesday 6 June 2023
- Meeting closed at 8.45pm

Chairperson Councillor Dr John Mackenzie

# Liveable Cities Advisory Committee

## OUTSTANDING ACTIONS AS AT 7 MARCH 2023

Meeting Date	Responsible Officer	Agenda Item No and Action	Due Date	Status
1 March 2022	David Clarke / Kylie Reay-Reilly	3.5 - David Clarke Kylie Reay-Reilly to share a summary of The liveable and wellbeing survey with the Committee.	April 2022	COMPLETED - Committee survey undertaken – Link to survey provided (internal only) – <u>Liveability and Quality of</u> <u>Life Survey_final March 2022.pdf</u>
1 March 2022	David Clarke / Kylie Reay-Reilly	3.6 - David Clarke Kylie Reay-Reilly to list Kelly Arnott on 6 September 2022 Committee meeting agenda to present the dashboard.	September 2022	COMPLETED - CSP 2040 endorsed, dashboard finalised – Newcastle 2040 relevant website links provided. Dashboard presentation scheduled for LCAC meeting 6 June 2023.
1 March 2022	<del>David Clarke /</del> Kylie Reay-Reilly	7.5 - David Clarke Michelle Bisson, Councillor Mackenzie and Kristi Jorgensen to meet to discuss the format with providing feedback from the Committee to CN Officers on big ticket matters.	Early 2023	COMPLETED – Meeting scheduled for 24 May 2023.
1 February 2022	Councillor Mackenzie	5.3 – LCAC to hold a joint meeting with the Infrastructure Advisory Committee to explore opportunities for the Blue Green Grid in the Newcastle LGA.	TBC	<b>COMPLETED</b> - Deferred – Focus area for 2023 is Broadmeadow Place Strategy – can be considered later in the year.
7 March 2023	Calan Cockburn	Distribute the Broadmeadow Brochure to LCAC Committee Members and Guests once finalised.	April 2023	<b>COMPLETED</b> - This has been sent and now seeking input from the Committee through the survey.
7 March 2023	Kristi Jorgensen	Contact Simon Massey for further information on 'Move to Newcastle'	June 2023?	COMPLETED - Contact details provided to Kristi